1	Beth E. Terrell, CSB #178181	
	Email: bterrell@terrellmarshall.com	
2	Mary B. Reiten, CSB #203412 Email: mreiten@terrellmarshall.com	
3	Adrienne D. McEntee, <i>Admitted Pro Hac</i>	Vice
4	Email: amcentee@terrellmarshall.com	
5	TERRELL MARSHALL LAW GROUP I 936 North 34th Street, Suite 300	PLLC
6	Seattle, Washington 98103-8869	
7	Telephone: (206) 816-6603	
8	Facsimile: (206) 319-5450	
9	[Additional counsel appear on signature p	age]
10	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
11	UNITED STATES D	NISTRICT COURT
12	FOR THE SOUTHERN DIS	
13		ı
14	BEE, DENNING, INC., d/b/a PRACTICE PERFORMANCE	
15	GROUP; and GREGORY CHICK,	NO. 3:13-cv-02654-BAS-WVG
16	individually and on behalf of all others	
17	similarly situated,	PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR COSTS AND INCENTIVE
18	Plaintiffs,	AWARDS
	v.	
19	CAPITAL ALLIANCE GROUP; and	Complaint Filed: 11/5/13
20	NARIN CHARANVATTANAKIT,	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
21		
22	Defendants.	Honorable Cynthia Bashant
23		DATE: November 14, 2016
24		TIME: 10:30 a.m. COURTROOM: 4B, 4th Fl. Schwartz
25		COOKTROOM. 4D, 4m Fr. Schwarz
26		_
27		
28		PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR COSTS

AND INCENTIVE AWARDS
3:13-cv-02654-BAS-WVG
3:14-cv-02915-JLS-MDD

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DANIELA TORMAN, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,  v.  CAPITAL ALLIANCE GROUP d/b/a CAPITAL ALLIANCE d/b/a BANKCAPITAL d/b/a BANKCAPITAL DIRECT d/b/a TRUSTED BANCORP, NARIN CHARANVATTANAKIT a/k/a NARAN CHARAN a/k/a CLAYTON HEATH, and JOHN DOES 1-10,	NO. 3:14-cv-02915-JLS-MDD  Honorable Janis L. Sammartino
10 11	Defendants.	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		DI ADMINISTRA MORIONES COMO
28		PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR COSTS AND INCENTIVE AWARDS 3:13-cv-02654-BAS-WVG 3:14-cv-02915-JLS-MDD

1		TABLE OF CONTENTS
2		Page
3	I.	INTRODUCTION1
5	II.	STATEMENT OF FACTS
6	III.	AUTHORITY AND ARGUMENT2
7		A. Service Awards for the Class Representatives are Reasonable2
8		B. Plaintiffs' Requested Costs Are Reasonable3
9		•
10 11	IV.	CONCLUSION4
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR COSTS
28		AND INCENTIVE AWARDS - 1 - 3:13-cv-02654-BAS-WVG

1	TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
2	Page
3	<i>Harris v. Marhoefer</i> , 24 F.3d 16 (9th Cir.1994)3
<ul><li>5</li><li>6</li></ul>	Hartless v. Clorox Co., 273 F.R.D. 630 (S.D. Cal. 2011)2
7 8	In re Immune Response Sec. Litig., 497 F. Supp. 2d 1166 (S.D. Cal. 2007)4
9 10 11	Jones v. Agilysys, Inc., Case No: C 12-03516 SBA, 2014 WL 2090034 (N.D. Cal. May 19, 2014)2
12 13 14	Lilly v. Jamba Juice, No. 13-cv-2998-JST, 2015 WL 2062858 (N.D. Cal. May 4, 2015)
15 16	McKenzie v. Fed. Exp. Corp., No. CV 10-02420 GAF PLAX, 2012 WL 2930201 (C.D. Cal. July 2, 2012)
17 18 19	Pelletz v. Weyerhaeuser Co., 592 F. Supp. 2d 1322, 1328 (W.D. Wash. 2009)2
20 21	Richardson v. L'Oreal U.S.A., Inc., 951 F.Supp.2d 104 (D.D.C. 2013)
22	Riker v. Gibbons, No. 3:08-cv-115-LRH-VPC, 2010 WL 4366012 (D. Nev. Oct. 28, 2010)
24 25	Rodriguez v. West Publ'g Corp., 563 F.3d 948 (9th Cir. 2009)2
26 27	Staton v. Boeing Co., 327 F.3d 928 (9th Cir. 2003)2
28	PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR COSTS  AND INCENTIVE AWARDS  - 2 - 3:13-cv-02654-BAS-WVG  3:14-cv-02915-JLS-MDD

1	Weeks v. Kellogg Co.,
2	No. CV 09-08102 MMM RZX,
3	2013 WL 6531177 (C.D. Cal. Nov. 23, 2013)3
4	FEDERAL RULES
5	Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(h)
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR COSTS  AND INCENTIVE AWARDS  - 3 - 3:13-cv-02654-BAS-WVG

3:14-cv-02915-JLS-MDD

1

2

4

3

5 6

7

9

8

11

10

12 13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

## I. INTRODUCTION

Plaintiffs respectfully request the Court grant this motion and award (1) Class Counsel \$22,096 in costs; and (2) incentive payments of \$4,819 each to Plaintiffs Bee, Denning, Inc., Gregory Chick, and Daniela Torman.

## II. STATEMENT OF FACTS

In this consolidated class action lawsuit, Plaintiffs allege that Defendants Capital Alliance Group and Narin Charanvattanakit sent Plaintiffs and other similarly situated individuals unwanted, unauthorized, faxes advertising a short term business loan, and unwanted, unauthorized prerecorded messages on their cellular telephones. After years of litigation, including extensive discovery, and a settlement conference over which the Hon. Gallo presided, Plaintiffs and Defendants have entered into a Settlement Agreement which requires substantial injunctive relief, and provides for a fair, reasonable, and adequate resolution of the claims of Plaintiffs and the Settlement Classes in light of the large number of class members and Defendants' limited financial resources. *Id.*; Dkt. No. 71-3 ("Settlement Agreement").

Relevant to this motion, the Settlement Agreement provides that each of the three Plaintiffs will receive \$4,819 (collectively, \$14,457) for his or her statutory damages and services as representatives of the Class. Settlement Agreement ¶ 2.3. These awards will compensate Plaintiffs for their time and effort and for the risk they undertook in prosecuting this case. Additionally, Defendants have agreed to pay Class Counsel's costs in the amount of twenty-two thousand ninety-six dollars (\$22,096). Settlement Agreement ¶ 2.4. These costs, which do not included the approximately \$200,000 in attorneys' fees Class Counsel have incurred (and which are waived under the Settlement Agreement), were necessary to secure the resolution of this litigation. *Id.* 

PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR COSTS AND INCENTIVE AWARDS 3:14-cv-02915-JLS-MDD 1

2

Α.

## III. AUTHORITY AND ARGUMENT

Service Awards for the Class Representatives are Reasonable

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

Service awards (sometimes called "incentive" awards) compensating named plaintiffs for work done on behalf of the Settlement Class attempt to account for financial or reputational risks associated with litigation, and promote the public policy of encouraging individual plaintiffs to undertake the responsibility of representative lawsuits. See Rodriguez v. West Publ'g Corp., 563 F.3d 948, 958-959 (9th Cir. 2009); *Hartless v. Clorox Co.*, 273 F.R.D. 630, 646-47 (S.D. Cal. 2011) ("Incentive awards are fairly typical in class actions."); Pelletz v. Weyerhaeuser Co., 592 F. Supp. 2d 1322, 1328 (W.D. Wash. 2009) ("The trial court has discretion to award incentives to the class representatives."). In reviewing whether an incentive award is appropriate, the court should take in account "the actions the plaintiff has taken to protect the interests of the class, the degree to which the class has benefitted from those action, ... [and] the amount of time and effort the plaintiff expended in pursuing the litigation." Jones v. Agilysys, Inc., Case No: C 12-03516 SBA, 2014 WL 2090034, at \*3 (N.D. Cal. May 19, 2014) (quoting *Staton v. Boeing Co.*, 327 F.3d 928, 977 (9th Cir. 2003)) (ellipses and internal marks in original).

Here, Class Counsel ask the Court to award service payments to the Class Representatives in the amount of \$4,819 each. These awards will compensate the Class Representatives for their time and effort in stepping forward to serve as proposed class representatives, assisting in the investigation, keeping abreast of the litigation, and meeting and communicating with Class Counsel on an ongoing basis regarding the progress of the litigation, settlement efforts, and settlement terms. Terrell Decl. ¶ 2. Ms. Bee and Mr. Chick also prepared for and sat for depositions, and Mr. Chick and a representative for Bee, Denning, Inc. appeared in Court for an early neutral evaluation. Id. The requested \$4,819 awards are PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR COSTS

AND INCENTIVE AWARDS 3:14-cv-02915-JLS-MDD

reasonable under the circumstances, and well in line with awards approved by federal courts in this circuit. See, e.g., McKenzie v. Fed. Exp. Corp., No. CV 10-02420 GAF PLAX, 2012 WL 2930201, at \*11 (C.D. Cal. July 2, 2012) (approval of \$5,000 service award); Weeks v. Kellogg Co., No. CV 09-08102 MMM RZX, 2013 WL 6531177, at \*37 (C.D. Cal. Nov. 23, 2013) (approving \$5,000 incentive awards for each named plaintiffs even though their involvement was "relatively minimal and not particularly burdensome"). В. **Plaintiffs' Requested Costs Are Reasonable** 

An attorney is entitled to recover out-of-pocket expenses that would normally be charged to a fee paying client. *Harris v. Marhoefer*, 24 F.3d 16, 19 (9th Cir.1994) (citation omitted). "In a certified class action, the court may award reasonable attorney's fees and nontaxable costs that are authorized by law or by the parties' agreement." Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(h). An award of costs is appropriate when attorneys obtain injunctive relief for the class, even if there is no financial recovery for the class. *Lilly v. Jamba Juice*, No. 13–cv–2998–JST, 2015 WL 2062858 at \*5 (N.D. Cal. May 4, 2015) (awarding reasonable costs in injunctive relief class); *Riker v. Gibbons*, No. 3:08–cv–115–LRH–VPC, 2010 WL 4366012, \*7 (D. Nev. Oct. 28, 2010) (awarding costs based on parties' negotiated agreement); *Richardson v. L'Oreal U.S.A., Inc.*, 951 F.Supp.2d 104, 108 (D.D.C. 2013) (same).

Here, Defendants agreed to reimburse Class Counsel for their costs. Settlement Agreement ¶ 2.4. Class Counsel incurred out-of-pocket costs totaling \$22,096.00, primarily to cover expenses related to filing fees, computerized legal research, investigation expenses, travel, mediation fees, and administrative costs such as copying, mailing, and messenger expenses. Terrell Decl. ¶ 4. Class Counsel put forward these out-of-pocket costs without assurance that they would ever be repaid. *Id.* These out-of-pocket costs were necessary to secure the

PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR COSTS AND INCENTIVE AWARDS 3:13-cv-02654-BAS-WVG 3:14-cv-02915-JLS-MDD 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

resolution of this litigation, and should be recouped. See In re Immune Response Sec. Litig., 497 F. Supp. 2d 1166, 1177-1178 (S.D. Cal. 2007) (finding that costs such as filing fees, photocopy costs, travel expenses, postage, telephone and fax costs, computerized legal research fees, and mediation expenses are relevant and necessary expenses in class action litigation). IV. CONCLUSION For the reasons stated above, Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court grant this motion and award (1) Class Counsel \$22,096 in costs; and (2) incentive payments of \$4,819 each to Plaintiffs Bee, Denning, Inc., Gregory Chick, and Daniela Torman. RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED AND DATED this 30th day of September, 2016. TERRELL MARSHALL LAW GROUP PLLC By: /s/ Beth E. Terrell, CSB #178181 Beth E. Terrell, CSB #178181 Email: bterrell@terrellmarshall.com Mary B. Reiten, CSB #203412 Email: mreiten@terrellmarshall.com 936 North 34th Street, Suite 300 Seattle, Washington 98103-8869 Telephone: (206) 816-6603 Facsimile: (206) 319-5450 Stefan Coleman Email: law@stefancoleman.com LAW OFFICES OF STEFAN COLEMAN 201 South Biscayne Boulevard, 28th Floor Miami, Florida 33131 Telephone: (877) 333-9427 Facsimile: (888) 498-8946 Attorneys for Plaintiffs PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR COSTS AND INCENTIVE AWARDS

- 4 -

3:13-cv-02654-BAS-WVG 3:14-cv-02915-JLS-MDD

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 1 2 I, Beth E. Terrell, hereby certify that on September 30, 2016, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF 3 system which will send notification of such filing to the following: 4 5 Gene S. Stone, CSB #162112 Email: gstone@homan-stone.com 6 **HOMAN & STONE** 7 12 North Fifth Street Redlands, California 92373 8 Telephone: (909) 307-9380 9 Facsimile: (909) 793-0210 10 Janine C. Prupas 11 Email: jprupas@swlaw.com SNELL & WILMER, L.L.P. 12 50 West Liberty Street, Suite 510 13 Reno, Nevada 89501 Telephone: (775) 785-5440 14 Facsimile: (775) 785-5441 15 16 Attorneys for Defendants 17 Scott A. Marquis 18 Email: smarquis@maclaw.com Candice E. Renka 19 Email: crenka@maclaw.com 20 MARQUIS AURBACH COFFING 10001 Park Run Drive 21 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 22 Telephone: (702) 382-0711 Facsimile: (702) 382-5816 23 24 25 26 27 PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR COSTS 28 AND INCENTIVE AWARDS

AND INCENTIVE AWARDS
- 5 - 3:13-cv-02654-BAS-WVG
3:14-cv-02915-JLS-MDD

1	Gary E. Mason.
2	Email: gmason@wbmllp.com
	WHITFIELD, BRYSON & MASON, LLP 1625 Massachusetts Avenue NW, Suite 605
3	Washington, DC 20036
4	Telephone: (202) 429-2290
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff Daniela Torman
6	
7	DATED this 30th day of September, 2016.
8	TERRELL MARSHALL LAW GROUP PLLC
9	
10	By: _/s/ Beth E. Terrell, CSB #178181_
11	Beth E. Terrell, CSB #178181
12	Email: bterrell@terrellmarshall.com
13	936 North 34th Street, Suite 300 Seattle, Washington 98103-8869
14	Telephone: (206) 816-6603
15	Facsimile: (206) 319-5450
16	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
23 24	
25	
26	
27	PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR COSTS
28	AND INCENTIVE AWARDS

- 6 -

3:13-cv-02654-BAS-WVG 3:14-cv-02915-JLS-MDD